

	Score Point 1	Score Point 2	Score Point 3	Score Point 4
Reading Comprehension	Demonstrates no comprehension of ideas by providing inaccurate or no analysis and little to no textual evidence.	Demonstrates limited comprehension of ideas by providing a minimally accurate analysis and supporting the analysis with limited textual evidence.	Demonstrates comprehension of ideas stated explicitly and/or inferentially by providing a mostly accurate analysis and supporting the analysis with adequate textual evidence.	Demonstrates full comprehension of ideas stated explicitly and inferentially by providing an accurate analysis and supporting the analysis with effective textual evidence.
Position	Makes an unrelated claim that does not connect to given topic/text or makes a claim that is incorrect. Claim shows limited understanding of the topic/text and is not supported by evidence in the text.	Attempts to make a claim, but part of the claim may not be fully developed. Claim shows minimal understanding of the topic/text, is limited in scope, and has minimal evidence from the text to support it.	Makes a correct claim that connects to the given topic and takes a clear position. Claim shows understanding of the topic/text, connects to most of the text/topic, and has enough evidence from the text to support it.	Makes a compelling claim that takes a clear position; demonstrates the writer's understanding of the complexity of the text/topic. Claim shows a deep understanding of the topic/text, relates to more than one part of the text/topic and has sufficient evidence to support.
Development: Elaboration	The writer attempts to provide at least one reasons supporting the claim. The writer attempts to write more about at least one reason in the form of explanatory sentence(s) or supporting information. Relevant examples, stories, quotes, lists and information may be missing. References indicate misunderstanding of the provided text (s) or a completely personal, rather than text-based, response.	The writer provides at least one text-based reason for the claim. Some relevant examples, stories, quotes, lists and information are included. Some information or explanation is provided as support for the writer's reason(s) or to connect the reason(s) to the claim, though some of the references are inaccurate or irrelevant to the argument.	Refers to more than one text-based detail and/or example from the provided source(s). Writes 2-3 sentence about each text-based reason, including relevant examples, stories, quotes, lists and information. Most references are relevant and support the argument. Most information supports the claim.	Selects the most relevant text-based details and examples from the provided source(s) to support the main claim. Skillfully includes a variety of text-based evidence to support each reason (facts, examples, quotations, micro-stories, information) Elaborates on source material to demonstrate an accurate and insightful understanding of literal and inferential details from the text.

© 2016 Match Education





Structure: Introduction and conclusion	Gestures towards an introduction and/or conclusion. These sections may go off on slight tangents from the main claim, relating to the topic generally but not addressing the main argument.	Provides a very brief introduction, which may not connect closely to the claim. Provides a conclusion that may restate the claim.	Provides an introduction to the claim, clearly announcing that this is an argument. Attempts to inspire readers to care about the topic and/or claim. Provides a conclusion that connects to the writer's main claim.	Provides an introduction that orients the reader to what is most important in the argument. Concludes the essay with a section that highlights important points and facts from across the rest of the piece or brings in new, effective evidence.
Structure: organization Struc	Reasons and supporting examples are not logically grouped together. Some may be in lists. Paragraph structure is missing. No brainstorming. Has multiple paragraphs or sections that are not connected to the overall claim. A significant number of reasons or paragraphs may be missing from the essay. Lacks coherence, clarity, and cohesion.	Attempts to use paragraphs and reasons and examples are grouped so that readers can follow the writers 'train of thought' Brainstorming is incomplete or shows misunderstandings. Some paragraphs may not be connected to the overall claim. Reasons or paragraphs may be missing from the essay demonstrating limited coherence, clarity, and cohesion.	Effectively uses paragraphing to group supporting ideas and their relevant evidence. Brainstorming shows basic command of organizational structure (cause/effect, pros/cons, problem/solution, description etc.) Paragraphs are relevant to the overall claim and most important reasons are explained in paragraphs demonstrating coherence, clarity, and cohesion.	Provides an overall synthesis or reflection on the claim. Skillfully orders paragraphs in a structure that is clearly planned; either demonstrates least to most important; chronological order; or follows the flow of the research text. Brainstorming shows full command of organizational structure (cause/effect, pros/cons, problem/solution, description) All important reasons are explained in different paragraphs demonstrating effective coherence, clarity and cohesion.

© 2016 Match Education 2





Content Structure: Transitions Vocabulary	Attempts to use transitional words and phrases to connect opinion and reasons: may do so inconsistently, overuse them, or use them inappropriately at times. Transition words may sound formulaic. Does not incorporate precise, domain-specific vocabulary.	Ideas and reasons are connected using linking words (for example, because) Reasons are connected to each other using linking words (also, another) Attempts to use precise, domain-specific vocabulary from the text, though terms may be used incorrectly or added without context.	Use transition words and phrases to connect evidence to reasons using phrases "like this shows that" Helps the reader move through the essay with phrases such as "another reason", "the most important reason" etc. Incorporates precise language and domain-specific vocabulary with a sense of context, demonstrating that the writer grasps the meaning of the terms.	Use words and phrases to connect different parts of the pieces together: to demonstrate shifting from reasons to evidence (e.g for instance) or to introduce a new point (e.g. in addition) Transitions purposefully and skillfully glue evidence together. Uses precise, domain-specific vocabulary from the text. Vocabulary is integrated smoothly into writing.
Knowledge of Language and Conventions	Uses simple or formulaic sentences. Subject-verb or pronounantecedent agreement is missing. Does not include adjectives of adverbs. Capitalization or punctuation are missing. Multiple spelling mistakes are evident.	Attempts to use simple, compound or complex sentences correctly, but errors may be present. Attempts to use correct subject-verb agreement or pronoun-antecedent agreement. Uses simple adjectives or adverbs, but may be used incorrectly. Attempts to use capitalization and punctuation correctly, but mistakes are present. Attempts to use conventional spelling and spelling patterns, but mistakes are present.	Use simple, compound, or complex sentences. Sentences have mostly correct subject-verb agreement or pronoun-antecedent agreement. Attempts to use either adjectives or adverbs. Uses capitalization and punctuation correctly. Uses conventional spelling and spelling patterns.	Uses simple, compound, and complex sentences fluidly. Sentences have correct subjectverb and pronoun-antecedent agreement. Forms and uses adjectives and adverbs, depending on what is being modified. Uses capitalization and punctuation skillfully. Uses conventional spelling and spelling patterns correctly.

© 2016 Match Education